IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4092 OF 2006.

Shri Avinash M.Chavan ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Smt. Usha Avinash Chavan ... Respondent.

None for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 29.1.2007.

P.C.:

. None for the petitioner even at second call. None for the respondent wife. Petition is liable to be dismissed for want of prosecution.

2. The dismissal of the petition results in moving an application for restoration which creates huge strain on the registry.

3. In the above view of the matter, I myself went through the impugned order together with challenges raised in the petition.

4. Having gone through the same, no case is made out to entertain this petition. No material

is placed on record to show that the petitioner was not serving in the Stone Craps (India) Ltd, as alleged by the respondent-wife.

5. There is also no denial that the petitioner was and is not working as interior decorator.

6. Petitioner appears to be a qualified person. He is under obligation to maintain his wife.

7. In the above view of the matter, no case is made out to interfere with the impugned order. In the result, petition is dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA, J.)